

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,089	•	02/01/2001	Pamela Boujra	67190/984046	3756
26646	7590	01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
	N & KENY	YON	KITOV, ZEEV		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2836	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/646,089	BOUJRA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Zeev Kitov	2836	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

condition	ction under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued tion (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛛 🛚	The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
6 (	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have been fill 37 CFR 1.17 (b) above, if	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee led is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any not term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The	e proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🗌	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🗌	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) 🗌	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.□ Ap	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Nev	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment nceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	e a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the plication in condition for allowance because:
	e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly sed by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	r purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an planation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Cla	aim(s) allowed:
Cla	aim(s) objected to:
Cla	aim(s) rejected: <u>11 - 22</u> .
Cla	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The	e drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.☐ Not	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.□ Otl	her:
	Brian Sacus see below  Supervisory Patent Evaluation

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

TECHNOLOGY OF THE

Applicant in his Arguments attacks the secondary reference, Howell, alleging that it does not display pertaining parameters. However, (i) these parameters are displayed in the primary reference, Yalla et al. and (ii) the Howell reference does disclose displaying the long-term delay tripping parameter group data (col. 5, line 41 - col. 5, line 9). Examiner found no evidence that the display 66 of Howell displyaing "the short-term trip mode" parameters. The Applicant's Arguments have been found non-persuasive; the rejection is sustained.